

CORRESPONDENCE *with* DEEP STATE TRAITORS

* * *

Former

JEFFCO-DHS DIRECTOR

LYNN A. JOHNSON

Jefferson County, Colorado

*



REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION AND TO CEASE & DESIST ENFORCEMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT

(Does not include Requests for Reconciliation of Child Support)

to

JEFFERSON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES ("Jeffco-DHS")
JEFFERSON COUNTY CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES ("Jeffco-CSS")
COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES ("CDHS")
COLORADO DIVISION OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES ("CDHS-CSS")

Reverse-Chronological Order (without attachments and enclosures):

- 03-06-18 Notice & Praeipce to LYNN A. JOHNSON to cease & desist foreclosure action
- 11-06-17 Notice to MARGARET A. DAVIS to cease & desist her crime spree
- 10-03-17 Notice & Praeipce to LYNN A. JOHNSON for production of all Title IV-D records
- 10-03-17 Motion in 2005DR [REDACTED] requiring Jeffco-DHS to produce all Title IV-D records
- 07-24-14 Discovery Request to Jeffco-CSS's Griffen Korosek / 08-04-14 Motion to Compel
- 02-08-11 Notice of Witness Testimony & Request for Production, and Subpoena Duces Tecum to: Title IV-D administrator/Jeffco-CSS manager Debbie Moss; Jeffco-CSS caseworker Jessica Delgadillo; and Colorado Division of Child Support Enforcement director John Bernhart.
- 03-08-10 Freedom of Information Act & Colorado Open Records Act request for oaths of office and bond numbers for: Jeffco-Sheriff Ted Mink; Captain Dan Gard; Jefferson County Attorney Ellen Wakeman; Asst. County Attorney Casie Shorey; Jeffco-DHS director Lynn Johnson; Title IV-D administrator/Jeffco-CSS manager Debbie Moss
- 2009 Two FORMAL OBJECTIONs to continued child support enforcement, dated January 20, 2009, and June 1, 2009, mailed to local, state and federal officials, including Jeffco-DHS director Lynn Johnson; the Jefferson County Commissioners; Jefferson County Attorney Ellen Wakeman; etc.
- 2009 Two Requests for Information to various Jeffco-CSS officials and a Cease & Desist letter to one of the officials for knowingly continuing to enforce the void child support order and fraudulent arrears balance.
- 2008 A Cease and Desist letter to a Jeffco-CSS official to refrain from "imputing" (making up) a false income for me in their child support worksheets

John Mark [REDACTED]

March 6, 2018

Director Lynn A. Johnson
Jefferson County Department of Human Services
900 Jefferson County Parkway
Golden, CO 80401

RE: **NOTICE & PRAECIPE—Commands** to cease and desist foreclosure action against land & real property located at postal service address [REDACTED] to cease and desist enforcement actions in **Title IV-D case [REDACTED]** and in **Jefferson County divorce case 2005DR [REDACTED]**, to provide copies of all records previously requested; and to provide information regarding oaths of office & bonds, as designated herein.

YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

1. That I—the living human being with the given-name John Mark [REDACTED]—present this NOTICE & PRAECIPE in my sovereign capacity; that I am not the “respondent” in the above-referenced cases; that I am not subject to the “*de facto*” corporate government's *unconstitutional* statutes, codes, policies, rules, and other “*color of law*” means of revenue generation imposed upon my “strawman”—the fictitious person(s): “JOHN M [REDACTED]” “John M [REDACTED]” and other derivatives of my name; that I have reclaimed my birthright status, have rescinded, or am in the process of rescinding, my signature on all fraudulent consent contracts in which my consent was obtained without full disclosure, or otherwise by fraud, including the instruments used in my marriage and in the above-referenced divorce case, and that I recently filed UCC Financing Statements in Minnesota (*see Account [REDACTED]*) and in Colorado (*see Record ID [REDACTED]*) to reclaim my property, including the above-referenced land & real property;
2. That attached to this NOTICE & PRAECIPE is a copy of my NOTICE & PRAECIPE to you dated October 3, 2017, which commanded you to “forthwith direct [Jefferson County Child Support Services] to mail me a copy of all records in the above referenced Title IV-D case,” and which referenced my simultaneous motion to the divorce court itemizing the documents requested including but not limited to the “contract being enforced”;
3. That your office failed to provide the contract being enforced or any records to me;
4. That, on information and belief, your office failed to provide any of the requested records to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services;
5. That you are aware of the *undisputed* facts and evidence on the record in the above referenced divorce case and Title IV-D case clearly proving, *inter alia*, that I am not the “respondent,” that *my* child support obligations are paid-in-full, that the divorce orders are void, etc—*any one* of which prohibits enforcement of the child support order;
6. That your child support office repeatedly and intentionally failed to “review and adjust” the child support order and arrears balance, as mandated in the Title IV-D Child Support Enforcement Program and as required in order to receive federal funding, and accrued

child support arrears at the originally ordered monthly amount of \$1,717.92 until my youngest child turned age 19 on April 19, 2017, in spite of everyone's knowledge of the foregoing undisputed facts and of the prior emancipation of my four other children;

7. That you are aware of the “decade-long crime spree” against my family and have knowledge of my ongoing allegations and evidence proving criminal misconduct by several Jefferson County employees under your management as director of the Jefferson County Department of Human Services—see “*Excerpts from my Criminal Complaints v. Jeffco-DHS*” at www.prosealliance.org [REDACTED]
8. That your office initiated the most recent crime spree against my family through, and in conspiracy with, the Jefferson County Attorney's Office, by secretly moving the divorce court for a known false judgment against my “strawman” and purposely failing to serve process, and that your office has continued to aid in the crime spree to this day;
9. That you have consistently failed to stop the crime spree against my family;
10. That you have consistently failed to report the crime spree to law enforcement;
11. That you have instead consistently aided in your offices' crime spree against my family;
12. That I recently discovered that your office is currently taking steps to fraudulently foreclose on my family's land & real property in Jefferson County:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Foreclosure Trustee or Attorney

Name: JEFFERSON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Address: 100 JEFFERSON COUNTY PKWY, GOLDEN, CO 80401

Legal

Foreclosure type: Non-Judicial
Recorded: Notice of Lis Pendens: 2017117063 on 11/13/17
Legal description: [REDACTED]
Parcel number: [REDACTED]

13. That crimes against my family are still taking place despite your knowledge of how much my family has suffered over the past 13 years and of my upcoming multi-billion-dollar lawsuits against your employer—see my *NOTICE OF CLAIM* dated October 17, 2016;
14. That I am accusing *you* of committing several state and federal crimes, not only against my family, but also against many others on my growing list of your other victims; and
15. That I will be suing you soon.

YOU ARE COMMANDED:

1. To take whatever action necessary to *immediately* cease and desist your office's foreclosure action against my family's land & real property located at postal service address [REDACTED]
2. To take whatever action necessary to *immediately* cease and desist all enforcement actions in Title IV-D case [REDACTED] & divorce case 2005DR [REDACTED]

3. To provide by mail, postmarked no later than March 16, 2018, copies of all records requested in my NOTICE & PRAECIPE to you dated October 3, 2017, including but not limited to the contract your offices are enforcing against my “strawman”; and
4. To provide by mail, postmarked no later than March 16, 2018, the locations and recording/reference numbers of all oaths of office and the policy numbers and names and addresses of the underwriters of all bonds for the following officials:

<u>Employee:</u>	<u>Last known position:</u>
Lynn Johnson	Jeffco-DHS Director;
Mary Berg	Acting Jeffco-DHS Director;
Debbie Moss	Title IV-D Administrator and Jeffco-CSS Manager;
Alvin Tafoya	Title IV-D Administrator and Jeffco-CSS Manager;
Kristie Williamson	Jeffco-CSS Legal Technician;
Judy Nightingale	Jeffco-CSS Supervisor;
Sue Palmer	Jeffco-CSS Legal Technician;
Griffen Korosec	Jeffco-CSS Caseworker;
Lisa McGuire-Faulkner	Jeffco-CSS Caseworker;
Jessica Delgadillo	Jeffco-CSS Caseworker;
Brenda M. Cline	Jeffco-CSS Legal Technician
Richard Martinez	Jeffco-CSS Child Support Supervisor;
Nicole Overfield-Marlin	Jeffco-CPS Caseworker;
Janet Bueno	Jeffco-CPS Caseworker;
Tanis Doyle	Jeffco-CPS Supervisor; and
Sue McDonald	Jeffco-CPS Manager.

**NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS.
NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS IS NOTICE TO AGENTS.**

Respectfully,

John Mark [REDACTED]
On behalf of the [REDACTED] family

Cc. Jefferson County Board of Commissioners

Bcc.

NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE AND PRESS CHARGES

TO: MARGARET A. DAVIS
Jefferson County Attorney's Office
100 Jefferson County Pkwy, Suite 5500
Golden, CO 80419

I, John Mark [REDACTED], in my sovereign capacity, hereby notify you of my intent to sue you and to press charges against you for your willful past and present violations of my children's and my rights in Colorado First Judicial District divorce case 2005D [REDACTED] and in Title IV-D case [REDACTED], and for common law fraud, honest services fraud, conspiracies, attempts, deprivations of rights under color of law, misprision of felony, treason, misprision of treason, and other state and federal crimes against my family.

Immediately cease and desist from any further involvement in said cases.

If you proceed to represent the intervenor or otherwise take action in said cases in spite of this notice, or commit or attempt further crimes against my family, I will be pursuing your immediate arrest. If no one is willing to arrest you, I will arrest you myself; here is my authority to do so¹:

C.R.S. § 16-3-201. Arrest by a private person

A person who is not a peace officer may arrest another person when any crime has been or is being committed by the arrested person in the presence of the person making the arrest.

DATED this 6th Day of November 2017.

By: [REDACTED]

John Mark [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

All Rights Reserved

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that on this 6th Day of November, 2017, that this NOTICE OF INTENT TO SUE AND PRESS CHARGES was served upon MARGARET A. DAVIS by placing it in the United States mail, postage prepaid, and addressed to::

MARGARET A. DAVIS
Jefferson County Attorney's Office
100 Jefferson County Pkwy, Suite 5500
Golden, CO 80419

By: [REDACTED]

John Mark [REDACTED]

¹ This is not a threat; it is a promise. I am not a violent man; I would never intentionally harm another human being.

John Mark [REDACTED]

October 3, 2017

Director Lynn A. Johnson
Jefferson County Department of Human Services
900 Jefferson County Parkway
Golden, CO 80401

RE: Notice & Praecipe; Command to Provide All Records in Title IV-D Acct. No. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (Jefferson County divorce case 2005DR [REDACTED])

Greetings,

You will please take notice that this Notice & Praecipe is written in my sovereign capacity¹ for purposes of obtaining copies of all records in the above referenced Title IV-D case, in the possession of your Child Support Services' office ("Jeffco-CSS"). I am simultaneously moving the court for an order requiring your office to provide said records to me, to the court, and to the Acting HSS Secretary, at no expense to me.

You will please take further notice that much time and expense by all parties can be saved if Jeffco-CSS will simply find and declare the *undisputed* facts that my child support obligations are paid-in-full and that the arrears balance is zero, as they were required to do from the start.

In my sovereign capacity, you are hereby commanded to forthwith direct Jeffco-CSS to mail me a copy of all records in the above referenced Title IV-D case, to file a copy of said records in the above referenced divorce case, and to mail or transmit a copy of said records to the Acting HSS Secretary, by no later than Wednesday, October 11, 2017.

In the event your office is unable or unwilling to comply with this instruction, I shall alternatively require of your office evidence of your official Article VI Oaths of Fidelity as well as the policy numbers and names and addresses of the underwriters of your Bonds.

**NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS IS NOTICE TO AGENTS.
NOTICE TO AGENTS IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPALS.**

Respectfully,

John Mark [REDACTED]

Cc. Acting HSS Secretary – U.S. Dept. of Health & Human Services
Bcc.

¹ I, *the living human being*, John Mark [REDACTED] have reclaimed my birthright status and have rescinded, or am in the process of rescinding, my signature on all fraudulent consent contracts in which my consent was obtained without full disclosure, or otherwise by fraud, including the instruments used in my marriage and my ex-wife's divorce. I am not subject to the "*de facto*" government's *unconstitutional* statutes, codes, policies, rules, and other "*color of law*" means of revenue generation imposed upon my "strawman": the fictitious person(s)—JOHN M [REDACTED] John M [REDACTED] etc—created for profit by our foreign enemies.

DISTRICT COURT, JEFFERSON COUNTY, COLORADO Jefferson County Court & Administrative Facility 100 Jefferson County Parkway Golden, Colorado 80401		↑ COURT USE ONLY ↑
In re the Marriage of: Petitioner: CAROL [REDACTED] Respondent: JOHN M. [REDACTED] Intervenor: JEFFERSON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, Special Appearance by: John Mark [REDACTED]		
Petitioner: Carol [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Phone: [REDACTED]	Affiant: John Mark [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Phone: [REDACTED]	Case Number: 2005DR [REDACTED] Title IV-D: [REDACTED] Appeal No.: 2017CA [REDACTED]
MOTION FOR ORDER REQUIRING INTERVENOR TO PROVIDE ALL TITLE IV-D RECORDS TO ME, TO THIS COURT, AND TO THE HSS SECRETARY		

I – affiant John Mark [REDACTED] – make a special appearance¹ in this case and move the “fair and impartial review judge”² to enter an order requiring the intervenor to expeditiously provide a copy of the entire file for Title IV-D case [REDACTED] to me, to this court, and to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health & Human Service (“HSS Secretary”); and, having first-hand knowledge of the facts alleged herein, state the following under penalty of perjury:

1. If either the petitioner or the intervenor object to this motion or any part of this motion, a hearing is requested in this matter, during which I will need to participate by telephone.
2. This matter arises from the most recent “crime spree” against my family by magistrate JAMIN M ALABISO and Jefferson County Attorney MARGARET DAVIS, one of the “case fixing criminals” who pursued me like an animal over the past 10 years to pay my child support *again*, aided the petitioner in her evil plan to force our 5 children to grow up without a father, and

¹ I am making a special appearance in First Judicial District case 2005DR [REDACTED], Title IV-D case [REDACTED] and Appeal 2017CA [REDACTED] for purposes of petitioning for review and appealing a child support judgment entered against my “strawman” (the fictitious person(s)—JOHN M [REDACTED], John M [REDACTED], etc—created for profit by our foreign enemies), and to correct all frauds upon the court, errors, omissions, and other defects in these cases. I, *the living human being*, John Mark [REDACTED], have reclaimed my birthright status and have rescinded, or am in the process of rescinding, my signature on all fraudulent consent contracts in which my consent was obtained without full disclosure, or otherwise by fraud, including my marriage, this divorce, and whatever contract the intervenor is pretending to enforce. I am not subject to the “*de facto*” government’s *unconstitutional* statutes, codes, policies, rules, and other “*color of law*” means of revenue generation.

² See my MOTION TO CHIEF JUDGE TO FORTHWITH DESIGNATE A “FAIR AND IMPARTIAL REVIEW JUDGE” IN THIS CASE. Because I am suing and pressing charges against the following judges and magistrates for “fixing” previous actions against me, they are disqualified from this case: Stephen M. Munsinger; R. Brooke Jackson; Chris Voisinet; Babette Norton; Christopher Munch; Kolony Fields; Christopher Clayton Zenisek; Jamin M. Alabiso; and Philip James McNulty. If all judges in Colorado’s First Judicial District are involved in the rampant “case fixing,” racketeering, or other crimes there, or are officiating without the required oaths of office and bonds, then this case must be transferred to a different district or to the appellate courts.

defrauded the court records in Colorado and in Minnesota to keep their “child support scam” going, knowing full well that *my* child support obligations are paid-in-full, that the child support order is void, and many other material facts requiring “review and adjustment” and modification of the child support order and arrears balance in this case.

3. In dealing with the intervenor's crime spree against my family over the years, I have repeatedly pointed out to the intervenor, as well as to Jefferson County and Colorado's highest-authorities, that my child support is paid-in-full, that the petitioner had obtained a grossly-unfair child support order by fraud, that the petitioner is not eligible for child support enforcement services, that the intervenor is enforcing a known void child support order, and many other things requiring corrective action, but everyone continued to knowingly looked the other way.

4. I also requested the federally-mandated “review and adjustment” process six (6) times, but the intervenor refused to do their duties each time, and continued to run up the arrears balance at the original monthly amount of 1,717.92, despite the fact that my oldest child became an adult in 2010 and all of my children were adults by April 2016. Based on my research and investigation over the past decade, this is done to pad Jefferson County's numbers for federal funding—i.e., to steal from the American People through Title IV-D [and Title IV-E] of the Social Security Act—and I believe the intervenor has extorted millions of dollars from noncustodial parents in Jefferson County and has stolen tens-of-millions of dollars from the American People with scams like this. I have requested an investigation at HSS Headquarters, and I will be requesting an investigation into the First Judicial District Courts' role in the thefts.

5. Attached and incorporated herein is my “Notice & Praecipe” to the intervenor regarding this matter. I ask the court to take judicial notice of the fact that, although it is too late to stop the investigations, the intervenor can choose to continue this farce or to “simply find and declare the *undisputed* facts that my child support obligations are paid-in-full and that the arrears balance is zero, as they were required to do from the start.”

6. Regardless of whether or not the intervenor continues their child support scam, however, I request a court order requiring the intervenor to expeditiously provide copies of all records in the Title IV-D case to me (for preparation of my PETITION FOR REVIEW, Appeal 2017CA1600 and other actions), to this court (for adjudication of my PETITION FOR REVIEW and my upcoming challenges to jurisdiction and motions to correct the record), and to the HSS Secretary (for their investigation), including but not limited to:

- a. The contract being enforced;
- b. Petitioner's 2006 application for the intervenor's child support enforcement services;
- c. All financial affidavits, tax returns, forms, and evidence submitted by the parties to the intervenor during each of its six (6) reviews and adjustments of the child support order and arrears balance;
- d. Administrative findings, conclusions and actions by the intervenor following each of its six (6) reviews and adjustments of the child support order and arrears balance;
- e. All documents transmitted to Minnesota between June 2007 and the present;
- f. All notes, trails, worksheets, calculations, letters, responses to my letters, copies, and other child support documentation in my strawman's Title IV-D file; and
- g. All related documentation pertaining to the “Fatherhood Initiative Program,”

mediation, and other programs administered or sponsored by the intervenor.

7. It is my sincere hope that exposure of the intervenor's crime spree against my family, on the public record in this case and in Washington DC, will end the attacks on noncustodial parents nationwide and the cheating of federally-funded programs, and will lead to much needed reforms of the Title IV-D and Title IV-E programs and the methods in which local and state human service offices administer child support and child protection services.

WHEREFORE, having sufficient grounds, I move the "fair and impartial review judge" to enter an order requiring the intervenor to expeditiously provide a copy of the entire file for Title IV-D case [REDACTED] to me, to this court, and to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health & Human Service; together with such other and further relief, which should have been forthcoming years ago under the circumstances.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

VERIFICATION

I – affiant John Mark [REDACTED] – verify under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the united States of America that the facts alleged in the foregoing MOTION FOR ORDER REQUIRING INTERVENOR TO PROVIDE ALL TITLE IV-D RECORDS TO ME, TO THIS COURT, AND TO THE HSS SECRETARY is true and correct.

DATED this 3rd Day of October 2017.

By Affiant:

John Mark [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
All Rights Reserved

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that on this 3rd Day of October, 2017, true and accurate copies of the foregoing MOTION FOR ORDER REQUIRING INTERVENOR TO PROVIDE ALL TITLE IV-D RECORDS TO ME, TO THIS COURT, AND TO THE HSS SECRETARY was served upon the petitioner and intervenor by placing it in the United States mail, postage prepaid, and addressed to:

CAROL [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

JEFFERSON COUNTY DEPT. OF HUMAN SERVICES
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401

By Affiant:

John Mark [REDACTED]

July 24, 2014

Griffin Korosec
Jefferson County Child Support Services
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401

Re: URGENT Discovery Request / Title IV-D Case [REDACTED]

Dear Mr. Griffin:

This is an URGENT request for information in the above referenced Title IV-D case; please respond no later than August 1, 2014.

Please provide the following:

1. In chronological order, copies of every document in my child support account since April 2006, including a copy of my ex-wife's application for child support enforcement services.
2. Your notes, calculations, worksheets, explanations, and results of your "review and adjustment" processes in my case, which I applied for on March 28, 2007 and again in March 2008, and which you initiated on your own since opening my child support case.
3. Copies of all documents transmitted by your office ("Jeffco-CSE") to the Le Sueur County Child Support Office ("Le Sueur-CSE") in Minnesota since November 2007, including but not limited to account statements, arrears balances, and court documents from divorce case 05 DR [REDACTED]
4. The names, addresses, and phone numbers of all CSE caseworkers and officials who have had any involvement in my child support account, including all Le Sueur-CSE employees and others in Minnesota.
5. A listing of all Colorado laws, rules, statutes, or other regulations that your office follows for child support matters, including but not limited to the laws regulating verification of facts, review and adjustment, modification, correction, reconciliation and other services offered to both custodial and noncustodial parents.
6. A listing of all federal laws, rules, statutes, or other regulations that your office follows for child support matters, including but not limited to the regulations in the Title IV-D Child Support Enforcement Program, account reconciliation, case closure, and other federally regulated functions.
7. The name and contact information for the current Title IV-D Administrator.
8. The name and contact information of my Advocate in the Fatherhood Initiative Program (or whatever it is called today).
9. Your title and length of employment with Jeffco-CSE and how long you have been assigned to my child support account.

Please reconcile and close my Title IV-D case: My child support obligations are paid-in-full and the arrears balance is zero - see paragraph 43 in the attached page from a recent lawsuit. These facts have been repeatedly alleged and proven to your predecessors. Your office should have the evidence proving these facts or you can verify the facts with evidence on the record in Case 05DR [redacted] or in Colorado Supreme Court Case 11SA [redacted], which contains a copy of my 450-page "PETITION BOOK". These facts are undisputed. Neither my ex-wife nor the State has ever refuted these facts or rebuffed the evidence proving these facts.

The child support order in the AMENDED PERMANENT ORDERS dated June 20, 2006, is automatically void by operation of law for several reasons, including but not limited to: 1.) It was obtained by fraud (see 26 frauds upon the Court alleged and proven in my PETITION BOOK); 2.) It is not based on the facts and evidence; 3.) It is not based on the child support guidelines or any other Colorado law; 4.) It is arbitrary, based solely on the whims of a case fixing criminal who wore a black robe during our divorce; 5.) It is impossible for me to pay, as it is based, outside the guidelines, on an imputed income that I had only earned once in my career and is over 4 times what I was earning in my semi-retirement prior to the divorce; 6.) It unlawfully obligated me to pay monthly child support in an amount that was more than I was earning at the time; and, most importantly, 7.) It did not give me credit for having already paid for my children's shelter, food, clothing, education, and other requirements, in full, until adulthood, prior to my ex-wife's divorce. Our government has absolutely no authority to force me to pay my child support obligations again.

What happened in my case was your predecessors illegally opened a Title IV-D case for my ex-wife knowing she is ineligible for your services, altered my account to create an immediate arrears balance, committed crime after crime to force me out of Colorado to protect myself in May 2007, transmitted a known void and fictitious child support order and false arrears balance to Le Sueur-CSE for registration in Minnesota, and have consistently defrauded the Minnesota Courts to this day to keep their scam going. And as a result, my five children and I have not seen each other for over 7 years and have been cut off from all communications with each other for nearly 6 years.

Please end your office's crime spree against my family. If Debbie Moss is still the boss, contact Governor John Hickenlooper to investigate. Take whatever action is necessary to find the real facts that my child support is paid-in-full and the arrears balance is zero, declare it in the Title IV-D case, and close it.

Just follow the law! I'll look forward to receiving your detailed response.

Sincerely, [redacted]

John M. [redacted] Le Sueur County Jail, 130 South Park Ave., Le Center, MN 56057

State of Minnesota,
Plaintiff

vs.

MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY

John M. [REDACTED]
Defendant.

I, defendant John M. [REDACTED] move the Court to enter an immediate order in this case to compel discovery, and state:

1. I wrote to the former prosecutor, Nicollet County Attorney Michelle Zehnder Fischer, on May 27, 2014, and again on June 9, requesting the legal authority on which her No Contact Order and the Child Support conditions are based, but, just as she did in the main case to frame me as a "Deadbeat Dad," Zehnder Fischer failed to answer my discovery requests to her, and is apparently instructing the Le Sueur County Child Support Enforcement office to ignore my requests too.

2. Answering for Le Sueur-CSE, Zehnder Fischer stated, "The State is not obligated to provide you with legal authority to justify its actions," to the first of my six requests, and to skirt my other requests she stated, "there is no data available to provide you in response to this request... the generation of [requested] data... is not currently in existence... the information that you are requesting is not required by the Rules of Criminal Procedure... [and for the last two requests] A response to your question is not required."

3. Zehnder Fischer's recent case fixing is very easy to see.

4. On June 18, 2014, I made a discovery request to Cari Krenik^{at Le Sueur-CSE} who was involved in the case fixing during sentencing and who is responsible for the conditions requiring me to pay further child support despite her knowledge that my child support obligations are paid-in-full and that the arrears balance is zero, but she failed to respond and apparently forwarded my discovery request to co-conspirator Zehnder Fischer - see paragraph 2 above.

5. On July 24, 2014, I made an urgent discovery request to Kandi Larson at Le Sueur-CSE, who, according to recent paperwork, is the new case worker assigned to my account and who, unfortunately for her, is the latest victim being sucked into the case fixing, but she failed to respond by August 1.

6. Also on July 24, I made an urgent discovery request to Griffin Korosec at Jeffco-CSE in Colorado, but he too failed to respond by August 1.

7. All of the documentation I am requesting is needed for an adequate defense in this case, and some of it is exculpatory.

WHEREFORE, having sufficient grounds, I move the Court to enter an immediate order to Nicollet County Attorney Michelle Zehnder Fischer, Le Sueur County Child Support Case worker Kandi Larson, and Jefferson County Child Support Case worker Griffin Korosec, to respond to my discovery requests on

their respective Letterheads, immediately, but no later than August 11, 2014, and to sign their responses.

TO LE SUEUR COUNTY CITIZENS

Ignoring discovery requests and suppressing evidence are just two of hundreds of "case fixing tactics" used to railroad criminal defendants into jail or into unfair plea deals designed to benefit the "case fixers" or their government offices. Please read my previous letters to you in my court documents on the public record in this case, and help me "blow the whistle."

TO MY CHILDREN

DEAR KIDS:

I'm still on my hunger strike to draw attention to what our government did, and is still doing, to our family and thousands of others, but don't worry about me; I feel good and I'm losing lots of weight!

Help me sound the alarm on Facebook, Twitter and whatever other form of media you can think of. Things are already turning around. And remember, the wicked always dig their own pit and God promises to push them into it. Just be patient and wait for His timing.

I love you,
— DAD

DATED this 4th Day of August 2014

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, John M. [REDACTED], verify under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that I sent by Interoffice Mail a true and correct copy of the foregoing MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY on this 5th day of August, 2014, to:

Le Sueur County Attorney's office*
65 South Park Avenue
Le Center, MN 56057

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]

* Le Sueur County Attorney Brent Christian and Nicollet County Attorney Michelle Zehnder Fischer were long ago automatically disqualified from this case for any one of their case fixing crimes against my family. Please forward this motion to a prosecutor ethically qualified to represent the State, i.e., not involved in the case fixing.

State of Minnesota

County: Le Sueur
Le Sueur County Courthouse
88 S. Park Ave., Le Center MN 56057

District Court

Judicial District: First
Court File Number: 40-cr-09-[REDACTED]

State of Minnesota,

Plaintiff,

v.

Notice of Witness Testimony and Request for Production

John M. [REDACTED]

Defendant.

TO: Manager/Title IV-D Administrator Debbie Moss
Jefferson County Child Support Enforcement
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401

TAKE NOTICE that you are required to attend and give testimony at trial in Courtroom B of the Le Sueur County Courthouse, Le Center, Minnesota 56057, on February 28, 2011, at 8:30 A.M. If for any reason the trial is postponed, you are required to attend the taking of your deposition at this same place, date and time.

WITNESSES OUTSIDE THE STATE: Pursuant to the Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 22.06, "The attendance of a witness who is outside the state may be secured as provided by law." Pursuant to Section 6, Article I, of the Minnesota Constitution, defendant has the right to a 'compulsory process of obtaining witnesses', and as such your attendance is mandatory.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION:

As soon as possible, but no later than February 18, 2011, you are required to produce the following:

1. Copies of all applications submitted by Carol [REDACTED] in IV-D case [REDACTED]
2. Copies of all paperwork on file regarding any Jefferson County Child Support Enforcement actions taken in Title IV-D child support enforcement case [REDACTED]
3. Copies of all receipts or other documentation showing application fees and any other fees charged to Carol [REDACTED] for child support enforcement services.
4. Copies of all regulations and notices sent to defendant John M. [REDACTED] regarding: a) his rights to verification, review, adjustment, modification, reconciliation, and any other service provided to non-custodial parents; b) his rights to reinstatement of his driver's license; c) his administrative review and appeal rights; d) his rights in the "Fatherhood Initiative Program;" and e) any other right he has to services in the child support enforcement program

5. Copies of any and all notes, worksheets, and any other documentation, between March 2006 and the present date, by **anyone** in your office: a) during verification, review, adjustment and modification processes in case 05DR[REDACTED] or in the Title IV-D case; b) to fulfill due process regarding defendant's FORMAL OBJECTION of January 20, 2009, requests for reinstatement of his driver's license, requests for reimbursement of the money illegally taken from his bank accounts, requests for reimbursement of the money stolen from his children's trust account, requests for reconciliation of his Title IV-D account, and requests for closure of his Title IV-D case; c) in fulfillment of their duties as "Mandatory Reporters" regarding Ms [REDACTED]'s ongoing felony denials of visitation and prevention of contact; and d) during Mr. [REDACTED]'s attempts to reconnect with his five children through your office in the Fatherhood Initiative Program.

6. Copies of any letters by you or others in your office responding to defendant's requests to your office, as itemized in paragraph 5 above.

7. The court recently found in this case, "*Because the facts are in dispute, a trial is necessary.*" Therefore, all of the evidence proving the facts previously presented and the law applied in any judicial or administrative proceeding, to establish, review, adjust, modify, or enforce the Colorado child support order and to register it in Minnesota, or to reconcile the Title IV-D account, will need to be reproofed to the jury in this case. To this end, along with the above, produce any other documentation needed to fully substantiate your office's compliance with the state and federal regulations regarding child support and in the Interstate Title IV-D program.

SEND ALL DOCUMENTS TO: John M. [REDACTED]

DATED: January 28, 2011.

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Phone: None currently

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 28th Day of January 2011, I have mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Notice of Witness Testimony and Request for Production**, to:

Manager/Title IV-D Administrator Debbie Moss
Jefferson County Child Support Enforcement
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]

State of Minnesota

County: Le Sueur
Le Sueur County Courthouse
88 S. Park Ave., Le Center MN 56057

District Court

Judicial District: First
Court File Number: 40-cr-09-██████

State of Minnesota,

Plaintiff,

v.

Notice of Witness Testimony and Request for Production

John M. ██████

Defendant.

TO: Caseworker Jessica Delgadillo
Jefferson County Child Support Enforcement
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401

TAKE NOTICE that you are required to attend and give testimony at trial in Courtroom B of the Le Sueur County Courthouse, Le Center, Minnesota 56057, on February 28, 2011, at 8:30 A.M. If for any reason the trial is postponed, you are required to attend the taking of your deposition at this same place, date and time.

WITNESSES OUTSIDE THE STATE: Pursuant to the Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 22.06, "The attendance of a witness who is outside the state may be secured as provided by law." Pursuant to Section 6, Article I, of the Minnesota Constitution, defendant has the right to a 'compulsory process of obtaining witnesses', and as such your attendance is mandatory.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION:

As soon as possible, but no later than February 18, 2011, you are required to produce the following:

8. Copies of any and all notes, worksheets, timesheets, and any other documentation by any caseworker to: a) verify facts alleged by Carol ██████ in her applications for service; b) verify Ms. ██████ hold on John M. ██████ 'tools and work equipment' and any other contempt of court reported to your office; c) verify payments made; d) verify or correct the arrears balance; e) reconcile Title IV-D case ██████; f) contact Ms. ██████ in the Fatherhood Initiative Program to stop denying visitation and contact between the kids and Mr. ██████ and g) report the department's knowledge of Ms. ██████ ongoing child abuses and crimes.

9. Copies of any and all notes, worksheets, timesheets, and any other documentation by you to support your Registration Statements in the registration proceedings in Minnesota case 40-FA-07-██████

10. A copy of Ms. [REDACTED]'s tax returns and any other supporting document submitted to your office during all verification, review, adjustment, and modification processes, or any other process provided, since inception of the IV-D case [REDACTED]

11. The court recently found in this case, "*Because the facts are in dispute, a trial is necessary.*" Therefore, all of the evidence proving the facts previously presented and the law applied in any judicial or administrative proceeding, to establish, review, adjust, modify, or enforce the Colorado child support order and to register it in Minnesota, or to reconcile the Title IV-D account, will need to be reproofed to the jury in this case. To this end, along with the above, produce any other documentation needed to fully substantiate your compliance with the state and federal regulations regarding child support and in the Interstate Title IV-D program.

SEND ALL DOCUMENTS TO: John M. [REDACTED], [REDACTED]

DATED: January 28, 2011.

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Phone: None currently

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 28th Day of January 2011, I have mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Notice of Witness Testimony and Request for Production**, to:

Caseworker Jessica Delgadillo
Jefferson County Child Support Enforcement
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]

State of Minnesota

County: Le Sueur
Le Sueur County Courthouse
88 S. Park Ave., Le Center MN 56057

District Court

Judicial District: First
Court File Number: 40-cr-09- [REDACTED]

State of Minnesota,

Plaintiff,

v. **Notice of Witness Testimony and Request for Production**

John M. [REDACTED]

Defendant.

TO: Director John Bernhart
Colorado Division of Child Support Enforcement
1575 Sherman Street
Denver, CO 80203-1714

TAKE NOTICE that you are required to attend and give testimony at trial in Courtroom B of the Le Sueur County Courthouse, Le Center, Minnesota 56057, on February 28, 2011, at 8:30 A.M. If for any reason the trial is postponed, you are required to attend the taking of your deposition at this same place, date and time.

WITNESSES OUTSIDE THE STATE: Pursuant to the Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 22.06, "The attendance of a witness who is outside the state may be secured as provided by law." Pursuant to Section 6, Article I, of the Minnesota Constitution, defendant has the right to a 'compulsory process of obtaining witnesses', and as such your attendance is mandatory.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION:

As soon as possible, but no later than February 18, 2011, you are required to produce the following:

12. A copy of Colorado's federally approved child support guidelines that existed in 2006 for the establishment of child support orders; a document showing the date that the guidelines were last reviewed; and a copy of the criteria established by the state of Colorado to rebut the presumption in a case where the application of the guidelines would be unjust or inappropriate.

13. Copies of all paperwork on file regarding any Colorado Division of Child Support Enforcement actions taken in Title IV-D child support enforcement case [REDACTED]

14. Copies of all regulations and notices sent to defendant John M. [REDACTED] regarding: a) his rights to verification, review, adjustment, modification, reconciliation, and any other service provided to non-custodial parents; b) his rights to reinstatement of his driver's license; c) his administrative review and appeal rights; d) his rights in the "Fatherhood Initiative Program;" and e) any other right he has to services in the child support enforcement program.

15. Copies of any and all notes, worksheets, and any other documentation, between March 2006 and the present date, by **anyone** in your office, to fulfill due process regarding defendant's: a) FORMAL OBJECTION of January 20, 2009; b) requests for reinstatement of his driver's license; c) requests for state department review, adjustment and modification; d) requests for reimbursement of the money illegally taken from his bank accounts; e) requests for reimbursement of the money stolen from his children's trust account; f) requests for reconciliation of his Title IV-D account; and g) requests for closure of his Title IV-D case.

16. A copy of your letter of May 26, 2009, to the defendant, and documentation including citations of your legal authority on which you based each of your denials of defendant's requests to your office, as itemized in paragraph 4 above. Attach evidence to prove your authority.

17. The court recently found in this case, "*Because the facts are in dispute, a trial is necessary.*" Therefore, all of the evidence proving the facts previously presented and the law applied in any judicial or administrative proceeding, to establish, review, adjust, modify, or enforce the Colorado child support order and to register it in Minnesota, or to reconcile the Title IV-D account, will need to be reproofed to the jury in this case. To this end, along with the above, produce any other documentation needed to fully substantiate your office's compliance with the state and federal regulations in the Title IV-D program.

SEND ALL DOCUMENTS TO: John M. [REDACTED]

DATED: January 28, 2011.

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Phone: None currently

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 28th Day of January 2011, I have mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Notice of Witness Testimony and Request for Production**, to:

Director John Bernhart
Colorado Division of Child Support Enforcement
1575 Sherman Street
Denver, CO 80203-1714

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]

State of Minnesota

County: Le Sueur
Le Sueur County Courthouse
88 S. Park Ave., Le Center MN 56057

District Court

Judicial District: First
Court File Number: 40-cr-09- [REDACTED]

State of Minnesota,

Plaintiff,

v.

Subpoena Duces Tecum

John M. [REDACTED]

Defendant.

TO: Manager/Title IV-D Administrator Debbie Moss
Jefferson County Child Support Enforcement
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401

YOU ARE COMMANDED to attend and give testimony at trial in Courtroom B of the Le Sueur County Courthouse, Le Center, Minnesota 56057, on Monday, February 28, 2011, at 8:30AM. If for any reason the trial is postponed, YOU ARE COMMANDED to attend the taking of your deposition at this same place, date and time.

WITNESSES OUTSIDE THE STATE: Pursuant to the Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 22.06, "The attendance of a witness who is outside the state may be secured as provided by law." Pursuant to Section 6, Article I, of the Minnesota Constitution, defendant has the right to a 'compulsory process of obtaining witnesses', and as such your attendance is mandatory.

YOU ARE ALSO COMMANDED to produce all documents requested in your **Notice of Witness Testimony and Request for Production** when you attend the above events, unless previously produced by February 18 2011 according to the **Request for Production**.

FAILURE TO OBEY this subpoena without adequate excuse is a contempt of court.

DATED: February 8, 2011.

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Phone: *None currently*

WAIVER AND ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE

I, DEBBIE MOSS, hereby acknowledge personal receipt of the foregoing SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM, to give testimony at trial in the above named action or, in the event the trial is postponed, at the taking of my deposition. By accepting service of this Subpoena, I waive the necessity of any further and formal personal service, and consent to appear before this Court or at the deposition, on the aforesaid place, date, and time, as if I were served by personal service in the state of Colorado.

Debbie Moss

STATE OF COLORADO
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

SWORN TO and subscribed before me

this ____ day of _____ 2010.

Notary Public

My commission expires: _____

(Mail your completed Waiver and Acceptance of Service to the defendant no later than Friday, February 18, 2011).

DUCES TECUM SCHEDULE
Attachment to Subpoena of Debbie Moss

If you have not done so already, produce the following items, records, or documents:

1. Copies of all applications submitted by Carol [REDACTED] in IV-D case [REDACTED]
2. Copies of all paperwork on file regarding any Jefferson County Child Support Enforcement actions taken in Title IV-D child support enforcement case [REDACTED]
3. Copies of all receipts or other documentation showing application fees and any other fees charged to Carol [REDACTED] for child support enforcement services.
4. Copies of all regulations and notices sent to defendant John M. [REDACTED] regarding: a) his rights to verification, review, adjustment, modification, reconciliation, and any other service provided to non-custodial parents; b) his rights to reinstatement of his driver's license; c) his administrative review and appeal rights; d) his rights in the "Fatherhood Initiative Program;" and e) any other right he has to services in the child support enforcement program
5. Copies of any and all notes, worksheets, and any other documentation, between March 2006 and the present date, by **anyone** in your office: a) during verification, review, adjustment and modification processes in case 05DR [REDACTED] or in the Title IV-D case; b) to fulfill due process regarding defendant's FORMAL OBJECTION of January 20, 2009, requests for reinstatement of his driver's license, requests for reimbursement of the money illegally taken from his bank accounts, requests for reimbursement of the money stolen from his children's trust account, requests for reconciliation of his Title IV-D account, and requests for closure of his Title IV-D case; c) in fulfillment of their duties as "Mandatory Reporters" regarding Ms [REDACTED] ongoing felony denials of visitation and prevention of contact; and d) during Mr. [REDACTED] attempts to reconnect with his five children through your office in the Fatherhood Initiative Program.
6. Copies of any letters by you or others in your office responding to defendant's requests to your office, as itemized in paragraph 5 above.
7. The court recently found in this case, "*Because the facts are in dispute, a trial is necessary.*" Therefore, all of the evidence proving the facts previously presented and the law applied in any judicial or administrative proceeding, to establish, review, adjust, modify, or enforce the Colorado child support order and to register it in Minnesota, or to reconcile the Title IV-D account, will need to be reproved to the jury in this case. To this end, along with the above, produce any other documentation needed to fully substantiate your office's compliance with the state and federal regulations regarding child support and in the Interstate Title IV-D program.

State of Minnesota

County: Le Sueur
Le Sueur County Courthouse
88 S. Park Ave., Le Center MN 56057

District Court

Judicial District: First
Court File Number: 40-cr-09- [REDACTED]

State of Minnesota,

Plaintiff,

v.

Subpoena Duces Tecum

John M. [REDACTED]

Defendant.

TO: Caseworker Jessica Delgadillo
Jefferson County Child Support Enforcement
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401

YOU ARE COMMANDED to attend and give testimony at trial in Courtroom B of the Le Sueur County Courthouse, Le Center, Minnesota 56057, on Monday, February 28, 2011, at 8:30AM. If for any reason the trial is postponed, YOU ARE COMMANDED to attend the taking of your deposition at this same place, date and time.

WITNESSES OUTSIDE THE STATE: Pursuant to the Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 22.06, "The attendance of a witness who is outside the state may be secured as provided by law." Pursuant to Section 6, Article I, of the Minnesota Constitution, defendant has the right to a 'compulsory process of obtaining witnesses', and as such your attendance is mandatory.

YOU ARE ALSO COMMANDED to produce all documents requested in your **Notice of Witness Testimony and Request for Production** when you attend the above events, unless previously produced by February 18 2011 according to the **Request for Production**.

FAILURE TO OBEY this subpoena without adequate excuse is a contempt of court.

DATED: February 8, 2011.

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Phone: *None currently*

WAIVER AND ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE

I, JESSICA DELGADILLO, hereby acknowledge personal receipt of the foregoing SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM, to give testimony at trial in the above named action or, in the event the trial is postponed, at the taking of my deposition. By accepting service of this Subpoena, I waive the necessity of any further and formal personal service, and consent to appear before this Court or at the deposition, on the aforesaid place, date, and time, as if I were served by personal service in the state of Colorado.

Jessica Delgadillo

STATE OF COLORADO
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

SWORN TO and subscribed before me

this ____ day of _____ 2010.

Notary Public
My commission expires: _____

(Mail your completed Waiver and Acceptance of Service to the defendant no later than Friday, February 18, 2011).

DUCES TECUM SCHEDULE
Attachment to Subpoena of Jessica Delgadillo

If you have not done so already, produce the following items, records, or documents:

1. Copies of any and all notes, worksheets, timesheets, and any other documentation by any caseworker to: a) verify facts alleged by Carol [REDACTED] in her applications for service; b) verify Ms. [REDACTED] hold on John M. [REDACTED] 'tools and work equipment' and any other contempt of court reported to your office; c) verify payments made; d) verify or correct the arrears balance; e) reconcile Title IV-D case [REDACTED]; f) contact Ms. [REDACTED] in the Fatherhood Initiative Program to stop denying visitation and contact between the kids and Mr. [REDACTED], and g) report the department's knowledge of Ms. [REDACTED]'s ongoing child abuses and crimes.
2. Copies of any and all notes, worksheets, timesheets, and any other documentation by you to support your Registration Statements in the registration proceedings in Minnesota case 40-FA-07-[REDACTED]
3. A copy of Ms. [REDACTED]'s tax returns and any other supporting document submitted to your office during all verification, review, adjustment, and modification processes, or any other process provided, since inception of the IV-D case [REDACTED]
4. The court recently found in this case, *"Because the facts are in dispute, a trial is necessary."* Therefore, all of the evidence proving the facts previously presented and the law applied in any judicial or administrative proceeding, to establish, review, adjust, modify, or enforce the Colorado child support order and to register it in Minnesota, or to reconcile the Title IV-D account, will need to be reproofed to the jury in this case. To this end, along with the above, produce any other documentation needed to fully substantiate your compliance with the state and federal regulations regarding child support and in the Interstate Title IV-D program.

State of Minnesota

County: Le Sueur
Le Sueur County Courthouse
88 S. Park Ave., Le Center MN 56057

District Court

Judicial District: First
Court File Number: 40-cr-09- [REDACTED]

State of Minnesota,

Plaintiff,

v.

Subpoena Duces Tecum

John M. [REDACTED]

Defendant.

TO: Director John Bernhart
Colorado Division of Child Support Enforcement
1575 Sherman Street
Denver, CO 80203-1714

YOU ARE COMMANDED to attend and give testimony at trial in Courtroom B of the Le Sueur County Courthouse, Le Center, Minnesota 56057, on Monday, February 28, 2011, at 8:30AM. If for any reason the trial is postponed, YOU ARE COMMANDED to attend the taking of your deposition at this same place, date and time.

WITNESSES OUTSIDE THE STATE: Pursuant to the Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 22.06, "The attendance of a witness who is outside the state may be secured as provided by law." Pursuant to Section 6, Article I, of the Minnesota Constitution, defendant has the right to a 'compulsory process of obtaining witnesses', and as such your attendance is mandatory.

YOU ARE ALSO COMMANDED to produce all documents requested in your **Notice of Witness Testimony and Request for Production** when you attend the above events, unless previously produced by February 18 2011 according to the **Request for Production**.

FAILURE TO OBEY this subpoena without adequate excuse is a contempt of court.

DATED: February 8, 2011.

By Defendant: John M. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Phone: *None currently*

WAIVER AND ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE

I, JOHN BERNHART, hereby acknowledge personal receipt of the foregoing SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM, to give testimony at the trial in above named action or, in the event the trial is postponed, at the taking of my deposition. By accepting service of this Subpoena, I waive the necessity of any further and formal personal service, and consent to appear before this Court or at the deposition, on the aforesaid place, date, and time, as if I were served by personal service in the state of Colorado.

John Bernhart

STATE OF COLORADO
COUNTY OF _____

SWORN TO and subscribed before me

this ____ day of _____ 2010.

Notary Public
My commission expires: _____

(Mail your completed Waiver and Acceptance of Service to the defendant no later than Friday, February 18, 2011).

DUCES TECUM SCHEDULE
Attachment to Subpoena of John Bernhart

If you have not done so already, produce the following items, records, or documents:

1. A copy of Colorado's federally approved child support guidelines that existed in 2006 for the establishment of child support orders; a document showing the date that the guidelines were last reviewed; and a copy of the criteria established by the state of Colorado to rebut the presumption in a case where the application of the guidelines would be unjust or inappropriate.

2. Copies of all paperwork on file regarding any Colorado Division of Child Support Enforcement actions taken in Title IV-D child support enforcement case [REDACTED]

3. Copies of all regulations and notices sent to defendant John M. [REDACTED] regarding: a) his rights to verification, review, adjustment, modification, reconciliation, and any other service provided to non-custodial parents; b) his rights to reinstatement of his driver's license; c) his administrative review and appeal rights; d) his rights in the "Fatherhood Initiative Program;" and e) any other right he has to services in the child support enforcement program.

4. Copies of any and all notes, worksheets, and any other documentation, between March 2006 and the present date, by **anyone** in your office, to fulfill due process regarding defendant's: a) FORMAL OBJECTION of January 20, 2009; b) requests for reinstatement of his driver's license; c) requests for state department review, adjustment and modification; d) requests for reimbursement of the money illegally taken from his bank accounts; e) requests for reimbursement of the money stolen from his children's trust account; f) requests for reconciliation of his Title IV-D account; and g) requests for closure of his Title IV-D case.

5. A copy of your letter of May 26, 2009, to the defendant, and documentation including citations of your legal authority on which you based each of your denials of defendant's requests to your office, as itemized in paragraph 4 above. Attach evidence to prove your authority.

6. The court recently found in this case, "*Because the facts are in dispute, a trial is necessary.*" Therefore, all of the evidence proving the facts previously presented and the law applied in any judicial or administrative proceeding, to establish, review, adjust, modify, or enforce the Colorado child support order and to register it in Minnesota, or to reconcile the Title IV-D account, will need to be reproved to the jury in this case. To this end, along with the above, produce any other documentation needed to fully substantiate your office's compliance with the state and federal regulations in the Title IV-D program.

FOIA - FAX

Date: March 8, 2010

Pages: 1

To: Jefferson County Public Information

ATTN: Cindy Blake

100 Jefferson County Parkway
Golden, CO 80419

Phone: 303-271-8512

Fax: 303-271-8232

Email: cblake@jeffco.us

Re: FOIA Request – per 5 USC § 552 / C.R.S. § 24-72-200 “CORA”.

From: John M. [REDACTED] / [REDACTED] / Phone: [REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Blake:

Pursuant to the “Freedom of Information Act” and the “Colorado Open Records Act”, I request a copy of the official ***Oath of Office*** and the ***Bond Number*** for each of the following:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1. Sheriff Ted Mink | Jefferson County Sheriff’s Department; |
| 2. Captain Dan Gard | Jefferson County Sheriff’s Department; |
| 3. County Attorney Ellen Wakeman | Jefferson County Attorney’s Office; |
| 4. Asst. County Attorney Casie Shorey | Jefferson County Attorney’s Office; |
| 5. Director Lynn Johnson | Jefferson County Dept. of Human Services; |
| 6. CSE Manager Debbie Moss | Jefferson County Dept. of Human Services. |

If any of these officials are not required to sign a formal oath of office or post a bond, please state so and provide a copy of the document they signed certifying that they took the verbal oath for public officials.

Please email the information to me in a WORD or PDF document at [REDACTED]
If you have any questions, call me at 507-262-4003.

Thank you,

[REDACTED]

John M. [REDACTED]

NOTICE OF 42 U.S.C. 1301(d) EXCEPTION: FORMAL OBJECTION

Date: January 20, 2009

Court Case Number: 2005 DR [REDACTED]

IV-D Case Number: [REDACTED]

RE: In the Marriage of:

Carol [REDACTED] Petitioner

v.

John M. [REDACTED] Respondent

To Lynn Johnson, Director, Jefferson County Department of Human Services:

This letter shall serve as notice of my decision to invoke my federal statutory right to object to the local agency's continued delivery of Title IV services on behalf of my children, including but not limited to Title IV-D in the above mentioned case. My authority for such objection is found under section 1101(d) of Title XI of the Federal Social Security Act ("SSA") of 1935. The language used in this Title XI general provision applies to all aspects of the SSA, and is free of all ambiguity; it means what it says. There is no disputing that the Jefferson County Department of Human Services (DHS) is currently carrying out provisions of Title IV of the Social Security Act on behalf of my children, which must *immediately cease and desist*, because of an unauthorized assignment of rights. As the other parent, I object to all Title IV SSA services. This congressionally enacted federal right, which applies to me in this case, states:

42 U.S.C. 1301 (d): "Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as authorizing any federal official, agent, or representative, in carrying out any of the provisions of this act, to take charge of any child *over the objection of either parent* of such child, or of the person standing in loco parentis to such child."

The United States Constitution also clarifies my right to this federal provision, stating:

14th Amendment, Section 1: "No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States."

The needs of my children are being met without the need for government assistance. There has been no determination that the children are in need or are "dependent" on public welfare cash payouts, or at risk of being "dependent," as defined in this act. There is no need for public assistance in this case. Therefore, the county has no legal standing in this matter. According to the United States Constitution, 9th Amendment, as a fit parent, I also have a liberty interest to parent my children in the manner I choose, provided there is no clear and convincing evidence of abuse, neglect, harm or endangerment. No such evidence exists against me in my case.

The IV-D program is a federally funded program, requiring Colorado to comply with all federal regulations related to the program. Colorado DHS is acting as an agent of the federal government for the implementation of IV-D and other public assistance programs, pursuant to:

Title 26. Human Services Code.

Article 2. Public Assistance.

C.R.S. 26-2-102. Legislative Declaration. It is the purpose of this article to promote the public health and welfare of the people of Colorado by providing, *in cooperation with the federal government* or independently, public assistance for *needy* individuals and families who are residents of the state and whose income and property are insufficient to meet the costs of necessary maintenance and services as determined by the state department and to assist such individuals and families to attain or retain their capabilities for independence, self-care, and self-support, as contemplated by article XXIV of the state constitution *and the provisions of the social security act* and the food stamp act.

C.R.S. 26-2-105. Federal Requirements. *Nothing in this article shall* be construed to *prevent the state department from complying with federal requirements* for public assistance programs expressly provided by law in order *for the state of Colorado to qualify for federal funds under the social security act* and to maintain said programs within the limits of available appropriations.

The county lacked authorization from me to place my children on the Title IV welfare program and I was not notified nor afforded my Constitutional right to Due Process before the state took charge of my children under any of the Title IV welfare programs. There was no abuse, neglect, harm or endangerment by me prior the date of application for IV-D services; therefore, there was an invalid assignment of rights. This invalid assignment of rights violated my fundamental right. A violation of the constitutional right to privacy occurred when the state made a determination to provide welfare services without allowing me due process to take charge of my children under Title IV-D. There are no dependent children in this case, according to the meaning of the SSA; therefore, when delivering Title IV-D services in this case, the county is operating outside the scope of the law. Continued delivery of Title IV benefits or services by the local agency on behalf of my children is, thus, without authority of law and is in direct violation of the federal civil right entitled to me under Title XI of the Act.

Concurrent jurisdiction exists between the United States and Colorado over the general provisions of the Social Security Act at issue in this matter, and, therefore, the local agency is required by federal law to take the appropriate measures to see that my lawful objection to the local agency carrying out any provision of the act on behalf of my children is promptly executed. *Ignoring this request will be a cause for claim in court actions if my request to discontinue county services is not completed appropriately and complied with immediately.* Ignoring this claim is in violation of federal law, putting all federal Title IV funding to the state and county agency in jeopardy.

It is of no concern to me that the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, nor Colorado's state agency, has promulgated a single regulation or rule for

effectuating an objection raised under 1101(d) of the Act. All that matters is that Congress intuitively recognized a need to include this objection clause in the colossal 1935 social security legislation. ***The purpose of this general provision was to prevent unwanted and unnecessary government intrusion by the state. My children are being taken care of without the need for government assistance, and in the event that the custodial parent fails to provide the proper care or is found to be unfit, I will take full responsibility for their care.***

No hearing is required to discontinue services; it is an administrative action. Every court ***must*** enforce my objection to the administrative action, as jurisdiction lies with the administrative agency for all Title IV matters and the administrative order supersedes the judicial order. The county unlawfully took charge of my children under the Title IV-D program through an administrative action. Discontinuing services is also an administrative action and, since no application to terminate exists, this objection is the only remedy available.

I am entitled to appropriate resolution and equal protection of my rights under the law, as is any married or unmarried parent. ***Jefferson County must terminate all services in this case immediately.*** I affably instruct the local agency to recognize my objection and close the Title IV case file opened on behalf of my children.

Please acknowledge receipt of my objection and closure of IV-D Case No. [REDACTED]

Respectfully Submitted,

John M. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

cc: Bill Ritter, Governor of Colorado
John W. Suthers, Colorado State Attorney General
George Kennedy, Colorado Department of Human Services Director
Ellen Wakeman, County Attorney for the IV-D, Jefferson County
Lynn Johnson, Director, Jefferson County Department of Human Services
Board of County Commissioners: Faye Griffin, Kevin McCasky, Kathy Hartman,
Charles E. Johnson, Secretary of United States Department of Health and Human Services
Charles B. Rangel, Chair, United States House Ways and Means Committee
Carol [REDACTED] Current Custodial Parent

REVISED and FINAL NOTICE OF 42 U.S.C. 1301(d) EXCEPTION: FORMAL OBJECTION

Date: June 1, 2009
[REDACTED]

Court Case Number: 2005 DR

IV-D Case Number: [REDACTED]

RE: In the Marriage of:

Carol [REDACTED] Petitioner

v.

John M. [REDACTED] Respondent

To: Karen L. Beye, Executive Director, Colorado Department of Human Services:

This letter shall serve as **FINAL NOTICE** of my decision to invoke my federal statutory right to object to the your agency's continued delivery of Title IV services on behalf of my children, including but not limited to Title IV-D in the above referenced case. My authority for such objection is found under section 1101(d) of Title XI of the Federal Social Security Act ("SSA") of 1935. The language used in this Title XI general provision applies to all aspects of the SSA, and is free of all ambiguity; it means what it says. There is no disputing that the Jefferson County and Colorado Departments of Human Services are currently carrying out provisions of Title IV of the Social Security Act, which must ***immediately cease and desist***, because of an unauthorized assignment of rights. As the other parent, I object to all Title IV SSA services. This congressionally enacted federal right, which applies to me in this case, states:

42 U.S.C. 1301 (d): "Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as authorizing any federal official, agent, or representative, in carrying out any of the provisions of this act, to take charge of any child ***over the objection of either parent*** of such child, or of the person standing in loco parentis to such child."

The United States Constitution also clarifies my right to this federal provision, stating:

14th Amendment, Section 1: "No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States."

The needs of my children are being met without the need for government assistance. There has been no determination that the children are in need or are "dependent" on public welfare, or at risk of being "dependent," as defined in this act. There is no need for public assistance in this case. Therefore, the county and the state have no legal standing in this matter. According to the **United States Constitution, 9th Amendment**, as a fit parent, I also have a liberty interest to parent my children in the manner I choose, provided there is no clear and convincing evidence of abuse, neglect, harm or endangerment. No such evidence exists against me in my case.

The IV-D program is a federally funded program, ***requiring*** Colorado to comply with all federal regulations related to the program. ***Colorado DHS is acting as an agent of the federal government*** for the implementation of IV-D and other public assistance program, pursuant to:

Title 26. Human Services Code.

Article 2. Public Assistance.

C.R.S. 26-2-102. Legislative Declaration. It is the purpose of this article to promote the public health and welfare of the people of Colorado by providing, ***in cooperation with the federal government*** or independently, public assistance for ***needy*** individuals and families who are residents of the state and ***whose income and property are insufficient to meet the costs of necessary maintenance and services*** as determined by the state department and to assist such individuals and families to attain or retain their capabilities for independence, self-care, and self-support, as contemplated by article XXIV of the state constitution ***and the provisions of the social security act*** and the food stamp act.

In regards to child support enforcement, the federal government requires explicit functions of review and verification of all applications for Title IV-D services. Colorado and Jefferson County CSE offices are knowingly accepting applications from ineligible parents to rig their reports and increase their federal funding. This cheats other states and counties out of their rightful share and is considered theft of public funds. In my case, Jefferson County took charge of the collection of child support with an undisclosed "assignment of rights" and has proceeded illegally ever since to enforce the child support orders even though knowing that my children are not in need, as defined by state and federal law. (See "*Further Reasons that Colorado Must Cease and Desist*")

C.R.S. 26-2-105. Federal Requirements. ***Nothing in this article shall*** be construed to ***prevent the state department from complying with federal requirements*** for public assistance programs expressly provided by law in order ***for the state of Colorado to qualify for federal funds under the social security act*** and to maintain said programs within the limits of available appropriations.

Receipt of federal funds is predicated on state compliance. All state and county employees, including 2 judges and 2 magistrates, who have worked on any function of my case having anything to do with child support, including calculation, modification or enforcement, have failed miserably to abide by federal requirements. (See "*Further Reasons that Colorado Must Cease and Desist*") Based on the level of dishonesty that I have witnessed in my case alone and on other information and belief, non-compliance is very widespread in Colorado. Therefore, Colorado should be disqualified from receiving federal funds under the social security act.

Jefferson County lacked authorization from me to place my children on the Title IV welfare program and I was not notified nor afforded my constitutional right to Due Process before the state took charge of my children under any of the Title IV welfare programs. There was no abuse, neglect, harm or endangerment by me prior the date of application for IV-D services; therefore, there was an invalid assignment of rights. This invalid assignment of rights violated my fundamental rights. A violation of the constitutional right to privacy occurred when the state made a determination to provide welfare services without allowing me due process to take

charge of my children under Title IV-D. There are no dependent children in this case, according to the meaning of the SSA; therefore, when delivering Title IV-D services in this case, Colorado and Jefferson County are operating outside the scope of the law. Continued delivery of Title IV benefits or services on behalf of my children is, thus, without authority of law and is in direct violation of the federal civil right entitled to me under Title XI of the Act.

Concurrent jurisdiction exists between the United States and Colorado over the general provisions of the Social Security Act at issue in this matter, and, therefore, your agency is required by federal law to take the appropriate measures to see that my lawful objection to the local agency carrying out any provision of the act on behalf of my children is promptly executed. ***Ignoring this request will be a cause for claim in court actions if my request to discontinue IV-D services is not completed appropriately and complied with immediately.*** Furthermore, ignoring this claim is in violation of federal law, putting all federal Title IV funding to the state and county agencies in jeopardy.

It is of no concern to me that neither the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, nor Colorado's state agency, has promulgated a single regulation nor rule for effectuating an objection raised under 1101(d) of the Act. All that matters is that Congress intuitively recognized a need to include this objection clause in the colossal 1935 social security legislation. ***The purpose of this general provision was to prevent unwanted and unnecessary government intrusion by the state. My children are being taken care of without the need for government assistance, and in the event that the custodial parent fails to provide the proper care or is found to be unfit, I will take full responsibility for their care.***

Furthermore, since my children and I have repeatedly fallen victim to blatant crimes committed by Colorado and Jefferson County CPS and CSE employees, I DEMAND that you not only close the file, but also ensure that my children and I will have no further contact or intrusion by any employee of your state or county departments. I will not tolerate any further exposure of your department's corrupt practices to any member of my family.

Further Reasons that Colorado Must Cease and Desist Enforcement of the Orders:

1. None of the judicial officials involved in the divorce followed the best interest standards or the child support guidelines when determining the temporary or permanent orders for child support, as required by state and federal law.
2. The applicant and her attorney committed several acts of fraud during the divorce to influence the orders, especially the child support orders. Orders obtained by fraud are void.
3. By fraud, the applicant also obtained our paid-off home, which is heated by wood; her income is sufficient to pay the bills, so any child support collected is pure profit. According to state and federal law, no one can profit by his or her wrongdoing.
4. An offset exceeding a million dollars is due me by the applicant for the property she stole.
5. The divorce judge manufactured facts and evidence, reclassified my work status, and arbitrarily ordered child support based on an income that was over 4 times what I was making in my semi-retirement before the divorce and that I had only accomplished once in my lifetime, which resulted in a monthly order that was more than I was earning at the time.

6. Abiding by the child support obligation is impossible, which in itself makes the order invalid and unenforceable.
7. My fundamental rights were repeatedly violated by the judicial officials, a CFI, 2 attorneys, at least one CPS caseworker, and several others, who were all working together to fix the divorce against me. The temporary and permanent orders were obtained by several acts of fraud committed by several people. *"When a court violates the clean and unambiguous language of the Constitution, a fraud is perpetuated and no one is bound to obey it."* *State v. Sutton*, 65 NW 262. I do not have to obey the orders and neither does the state.
8. Both Jefferson County and the State of Colorado lost jurisdiction in the case by their failures to comply with state and federal law.
9. Jefferson County CSE accepted a known fraudulent application for IV-D services, did not verify any of the applicant's statements, and pushed through the application for my ex-wife even though knowing that she was not eligible for services and was committing fraud.
10. Jefferson County CSE failed to give notice of the application, as required, and failed to give me the opportunity to object at the time the "assignment of rights" took place.
11. Jefferson County caseworker Lisa McGuire-Faulkner altered my child support account to show an arrears balance just a few days after permanent orders were issued so her department could speed up their harassment tactics.
12. Colorado CSE suspended my driver's license, ruined my credit and raided my bank accounts and my children's trust account, all without due process, and then refused my repeated demands over the next 2.5 years to return the money, repair my credit rating and reinstate my license; all of these actions and inactions are in violation of the law and my rights.
13. Starting in February 2007 when I filed a motion to modify the child support, Jefferson County and Colorado CSE workers worked together with the judicial officials to block the modification, and failed to follow any of the requirements of the review and adjustment process, as mandated by state and federal law.
14. None of the judicial officials or CSE employees followed the child~support guidelines during the modification process, which was purposely delayed for almost a year-and-a-half; and, to prevent my evidence from being entered on the record during the required hearing on the matter, Jefferson County CSE filed a fraudulent motion to withdraw my motion for modification; and, when the magistrate granted their motion and I filed a petition for review with the Chief Judge, Jefferson County fraudulently requested attorney's fees, which were granted; this constitutes a very serious crime for which several people should go to jail.
15. Jefferson County CSE committed an act of perjury in their Registratipn Statement to Minnesota authorities and did several other things to influence the proceedings to obtain registration and enforcement of the known defective Colorado orders and arrears balance. Several CSE employees have continued to work with the corrupt officials here in Minnesota to continue the enforcement harassment despite my objections in both states.
16. I have evidence to show that several Jefferson County and Colorado employees committed several crimes against my family during and after the fixed divorce, and are now engaging in interstate case fixing with Minnesota officials; and as a victim and on behalf of my five children who are also victims, I have the right to demand protection from further harm by the criminals in this case. You will partially fulfill this demand when you close the case.
17. Because no Colorado or Jefferson County official, including judges and magistrates, has ever properly applied the best interest standards or the child support guidelines in this case, as

required by state and federal law, I recently applied the standards and guidelines myself. According to my records, my child support obligation is paid-in-full and was never in arrears, and I am due reimbursement in the amount of \$39,696.11.

18. No Colorado or Jefferson County official, including judges, has the authority to override my objection. My objection is unopposed by the other parent and must be honored by the state.

In addition to the grounds presented in my FORMAL OBJECTIONS, each of the reasons 1-18 above is in itself cause for me to demand as a parent that your entire department cease and desist to prevent further harm to my children and myself. I have hundreds of pages of evidence to substantiate all of my claims and will be submitting it in the pending criminal and civil proceedings. This evidence is not needed for purposes of reviewing and honoring my FORMAL OBJECTION, but you can contact me directly if you would like additional information or need clarification about any statement of fact in this document.

No hearing is required to discontinue services; it is an administrative action.

Every court ***must*** enforce my objection, as jurisdiction lies with the administrative agency for all Title IV matters and your administrative order supersedes the judicial order. The county unlawfully took charge of my children under the Title IV-D program through an administrative action. Discontinuing services is also an administrative action and, since no application to terminate exists, this objection is the only remedy available.

I am entitled to appropriate resolution and equal protection of my rights under the law, as is any married or unmarried parent. ***Colorado and Jefferson County must terminate all services in this case immediately.*** I affably request that you recognize my objection and close the Title IV case file opened on behalf of my children.

Please acknowledge closure of IV-D Case No. [REDACTED] no later than June 17, 2009.

Respectfully Submitted,

John M. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

cc: George Kennedy, Colorado Department of Human Services Director
Bill Ritter, Governor of Colorado
Kathleen Sebelius, Secretary of United States Department of Health and Human Services
Charles B. Rangel, Chair, United States House Ways and Means Committee
Carol [REDACTED] Current Custodial Parent

JOHN M. [REDACTED]

February 2, 2009

Ms. Sherri Fanning
Jefferson County Department of Human Services
Child Support Enforcement Program
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401-6010

RE: Divorce Case # 2005 DR [REDACTED]
IV-D Case No.: [REDACTED]
FSR Account No.: [REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Fanning:

Please send me a copy of every document that is contained in my case file(s) for the above referenced cases, including but not limited to:

1. The petitioner's original application for child support enforcement services (In May 2006).
2. All notes and documentation by your Department to verify the facts in the application.
3. All notes and documentation by your Department since the date of the application until the present, to investigate discrepancies or fraudulent acts, to conduct home visits, make investigations, require testimony and produce records, and/or to verify payments made.
4. All notes and documentation by your Department during any reconsideration of the facts or further verification of the facts based on changing circumstances.
5. All notes and documentation by your Department, and all Financial Affidavits and paperwork submitted by the petitioner, during any review and adjustment process.
6. All notes, calculations and documentation by your Department during the 2008 review and adjustment process, including all notes, calculations and documentation used by the Department as a basis to request withdrawal of the motion for modification.
7. The original application and all notes and documentation by your Department to the Le Sueur (Minnesota) Department of Human Services for registration of the order in Minnesota.

Please ensure that the packet you send to me includes a copy of every document in any file for this case, as I do not have time to wait for multiple shipments. I need the above for upcoming hearings, so please send everything to my address above at your earliest convenience or within 10 days of the date of this letter.

Thank you,

John M. [REDACTED]

JOHN M. [REDACTED]

February 20, 2009

Ms. Brenda Kline / Mr. Richard Martinez
Jefferson County Department of Human Services
Child Support Enforcement Program
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401-6010

RE: Divorce Case # 2005 DR [REDACTED]
IV-D Case No.: [REDACTED]
FSR Account No.: [REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Kline and Mr. Martinez:

I received your package today in response to my letter of February 2, 2009. Thank you for sending me the copies, but unfortunately, since you sent very little, if anything, I requested, I need to ask you again. Please read the list below and send me a copy of every document that is contained in my case file(s) for the above referenced cases, including but not limited to:

1. The petitioner's original application for child support enforcement services (In May 2006).
2. All notes and documentation by your Department to verify the facts in the application.
3. All notes and documentation by your Department since the date of the application until the present, to investigate discrepancies or fraudulent acts, to conduct home visits, make investigations, require testimony and produce records, and/or to verify payments made.
4. All notes and documentation by your Department during any reconsideration of the facts or further verification of the facts based on changing circumstances.
5. All notes and documentation by your Department, and all Financial Affidavits, tax returns and paperwork submitted by the petitioner, during any review and adjustment process.
6. All notes, calculations and documentation by your Department during the 2008 review and adjustment process, including all notes, calculations and documentation used by the Department as a basis to request withdrawal of the motion for modification.
7. The original application and all notes and documentation by your Department to the Le Sueur (Minnesota) Department of Human Services for registration of the order in Minnesota.

Ms. [REDACTED] DOES NOT have the right to keep her original application confidential from me, as you state in your letter of February 17 2009, but if you intend to refuse this or anything else that is in the case files, please send a citation of the law that gives you the authority to withhold it.

Sincerely,

John M. [REDACTED]

JOHN M. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

September 24, 2009

Brenda Cline
Jefferson County Department of Human Services
Child Support Enforcement Program
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401-6010

RE: Divorce Case # 2005 DR [REDACTED]
IV-D Case No.: [REDACTED]
FSR Account No.: [REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Cline:

In a "Formal Objection" dated January 20 2009, I invoked my federal right to object to child support enforcement services being provided to my children and demanded that your office cease and desist from further enforcement of the orders. A copy of my Formal Objection was sent to Lynn Johnson, the Director of the Jefferson County Department of Human Services, if you would care to read it.

In addition to the reasons stated in my objection: The child support order is null and void and unenforceable; even if it were valid, my child support obligation is paid-in-full; and, your department has committed several acts of criminal misconduct against my family. Therefore, I do not want to have any further intrusion by anyone in your department.

This letter serves to put you on notice of my intent to include you in my future criminal and civil complaints. Because you have refused to answer my requests for copies of documents I am entitled to, including my ex-wife's original application for services, I am also demanding that you cease and desist from sending me any further communications regarding the above referenced cases.

If you would like to clear your name from any wrongdoing in the case, please send me the documents I requested and refrain from any further harassment.

Sincerely,

John M. [REDACTED]

JOHN M. [REDACTED]

April 25, 2008

Ms. Sherri Fanning
Jefferson County Department of Human Services
Child Support Enforcement Program
3500 Illinois St., Suite 1300
Golden, CO 80401-6010

RE: IV-D Case No.: [REDACTED]
FSR Account No.: [REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Fanning:

Thank you for your letter of April 18 2008. Attached is a copy of your letter of February 13 2008 to the Le Sueur County Minnesota office that has been handling my request for modification.

During a telephone conversation in late March with one of the Le Sueur County Child Support caseworkers, I was told of your February 13 letter. I had NOT received the Financial Affidavit you claim to have sent to me "under separate cover", so the Affidavit was sent to me by the Le Sueur caseworker. This seems to be a recurring problem with your office and it always seems to be done on purpose.

I decided to wait to return the Affidavit until after I had finished my 2007 tax return this year so I could include it. Yesterday, I sent the Affidavit with the requested 3 years tax returns to the Le Sueur County caseworker. I'm sure she will forward it to you right away.

One of the Minnesota caseworkers also told me that someone in your office stated that my current financial situation really didn't matter much anyway as you intended to "impute" an income for me. To prevent more problems in the case, I request that you do NOT do this.

I demand an end to the hatred that your department has had against me, which has cost my family enormously. I fully expect fairness in your review and retroactivity back to the date of my original request for the Review and Adjustment on March 29, 2007. A copy of my original request is attached. If you have any questions, feel free to call me.

Please expedite your review and send it to me at the address above.

Sincerely,

John M. [REDACTED]